Monatshefte für Chemie 115, 477-483 (1984)

Naturally Occurring Sesquiterpene-Coumarin Ethers, VI.* New Sesquiterpene-Isofraxidin Ethers from Achillea depressa

Otmar Hofer^a and Harald Greger^b

^a Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Vienna, A-1090 Wien, Austria
^b Institute of Botany, University of Vienna, A-1030 Wien, Austria

(Received 26 September 1983. Accepted 17 October 1983)

The roots of *Achillea depressa* afforded in addition to known sesquiterpeneisofraxidin ethers three new derivatives: the bicyclic drimenol derived albartol and the monocyclic derivatives deparnol and acetyldeparnol. The compounds were characterized by ¹H-NMR, MS, UV, IR, and CD data.

(Keywords: Achillea depressa; Compositae-Anthemideae; Sesquiterpene-Isofraxidin Ethers; Circular dichroism)

> Natürlich vorkommende Sesquiterpen-Cumarin-Ether, 6. Mitt.: Neue Sesquiterpen-Isofraxidin-Ether aus Achillea depressa

Aus den Wurzeln von Achillea depressa wurden zusätzlich zu bereits bekannten Sesquiterpen-Isofraxidin-Ethern drei neue Derivate isoliert: das vom bicyclischen Drimenol abgeleitete Albartol und die monocyclischen Derivate Deparnol und Acetyldeparnol. Die Verbindungen wurden mittels ¹H-NMR-, MS-, UV-, IR- und CD-Daten charakterisiert.

Introduction

Sesquiterpene-coumarin ethers have been detected so far only in two plant families: the *Umbelliferae* and the *Compositae*. Hence, their distribution within these families deserves special chemosystematic interest. Up to now especially umbelliferone (7-hydroxycoumarin) derived ethers¹ are well known and have been extensively documented mainly by Russian authors². Most of these derivatives have been isolated from the umbelliferous genus *Ferula*.

In contrast, comparative analyses within the *Compositae* tribe *Anthemideae* have shown that in the genera *Artemisia*, *Achillea* and

^{*} Herrn Prof. Dr. $U.\,Schmidt\,{\rm mit}$ den besten Wünschen zum 60. Geburtstag gewidmet.

Anthemis another series of sesquiterpene ethers dominate which are all derived from isofraxidin (7-hydroxy-6,8-dimethoxycoumarin). From the roots of several Artemisia and Achillea species we have already isolated 16 isofraxidin ethers with different sesquiterpene moieties, sometimes in rather high yields³⁻⁶. Beyond that in Artemisia we recently found a third series of sesquiterpene ethers which are derived from scopoletin (7-hydroxy-6-methoxycoumarin). It has been shown that these compounds occur very often as minor constituents accompanied by the corresponding isofraxidin analogues⁷.

In this paper a complete analysis of the sesquiterpene-coumarin ethers from the roots of *Achillea depressa* Janka is presented. Eleven different compounds—all belonging to the isofraxidin series—were identified. Three compounds were new: the bicyclic sesquiterpenoid derivative albartol proved to be the corresponding alcohol to the previously isolated albartin⁴; the two monocyclic derivatives deparnol and acetyldeparnol close a gap in the biosynthetic path⁸ within the isofraxidin series, representing the step between the open chain farnesyl derivatives and the bicyclic drimenyl derivatives.

According to morphological characters these chemical findings also support the close alliance between A. depressa and the A. ochroleuca—A. pseudopectinata complex^{3,5,6}.

Results and Discussion

The coumarin derivative containing column fractions (see Exp.) were subjected to TLC (ether : petrolether = 9:1) yielding six well separated bands of blue fluorescence in UV. NMR analysis (250 MHz) of the fractions revealed that fraction 1 was pure farnochrol (1), fract. 2 was an unknown compound (2), all other fractions were either mixtures of known compounds (fract. 3 and 4) or contained in addition to already known components new compounds as well (fract. 5 and 6) (see Table 1). The fractions containing known sesquiterpene-isofraxidin ethers were not separated into the pure components; the integrations of significant NMR signals were taken as an analytical measure for the contents of the single compounds. Fractions 5 and 6 were further separated by repeated TLC (CH₂Cl₂: Et_2 O = 98.5:1.5). Fraction 5 yielded pectachol (9) and an unknown compound 8, fraction 6 yielded drimartol B (11) and unknown 10.

The UV spectra of all new compounds 2, 8, and 10 were almost identical and typical for isofraxidin derivatives³⁻⁶. The mass spectra gave small but still significant molecular ions of m/e = 442 for 8 and 10, and m/e = 484 for 2; the base peak was in all cases m/e = 222

representing the isofraxidin ion. A mass of 442 is common to all monooxygenated sesquiterpene-isofraxidin ethers, 484 for corresponding acetyl derivatives³⁻⁶.

The ¹H-NMR spectra of **2** and **8** established that **2** is the acetyl derivative of **8**: in the spectrum of **2** an additional acetylmethyl group is found and the >CHOH proton is shifted from 3.66 ppm (**8**) to 4.88 for >CHOCOMe (**2**). The coupling pattern of this proton—geminal to OH and OCOMe, resp.—is indicative for an equatorial OR: the





corresponding axial proton shows an ax-ax vicinal coupling of 10 Hz and an ax-eq coupling of 4 Hz. All these data agree very well with corresponding data for the A ring of bicyclic drimenyl derived sesquiterpene ethers³⁻⁵. On the other hand a dublet of 2 H at about 4.70 ppm is diagnostic for an open chain sesquiterpene ether $[ArO-CH_2-CH=;$ for instance 4.68 ppm for farnochrol (1); 4.70 for 2 and 4.69 for 8]. Obviously the B ring has not been closed. From the biosynthetic point of view 8 is the product of acid catalyzed cyclization of 10,11-epoxyfarnochrol (already isolated from *Achillea ochroleuca*⁵) with loss of a proton at the monocyclic stage^{8,9}. Thereby an exomethylene at the A ring is formed (=CH₂ at 4.60 and 4.83 ppm for 2, 4.57 and 4.81 ppm for 8). The proposed structure is supported by all other ¹H-NMR resonances as well. The compounds were designated as deparnol (2) and acetyldeparnol (8); 8 is the isofraxidin analog of the umbelliferone derived farnesiferol B⁸.

Fract.	Compd.	Name	Yield/mg	Rf*
1	1	Farnochrol ³	6.5	0.60
2	2	Acetyldeparnol	7.0	0.47
3	3 4 5	Albartin ⁴ Acetylpectachol ³ Acetyldrimartol A ⁴	$4.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0$	$0.42 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.38$
4	6 7	Secodriol ⁶ Drimartol A ³	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.34 \\ 0.32 \end{array}$
5	8 9	Deparnol Pectachol ³	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 3.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26 \\ 0.25 \end{array}$
6	10 11	Albartol Drimartol B ³	$\frac{2.0}{1.5}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \\ 0.20 \end{array}$
1-6			38.0	

Table 1. Complete analysis of the coumarin fractions isolated from $105\,g$ roots of Achillea depressa

* Rf in ether: petrolether = 4:1, silicagel 60 F 254 (Merck).

The absolute stereochemistry of acetyldeparnol (2) was correlated with the absolute configuration of farnesiferol B by chemical degradation⁸ and transformation into (-)-14 (see Exp.). The absolute configurations of (1 S, 4 R) for 2 and 8 (correlated with each other by acetylation of 8) are further supported by the CD spectrum of the oxoderivative of 8. The *Cotton* effect of the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ carbonyl transition of the oxo-product 12 is $\Delta \varepsilon_{295} = -1.3$. The negative sign of the effect of this 1,2-gem.-dimethyl substituted monocyclic keton agrees with the predictions according to the octant rule—in contrast to comparable bicyclic sesquiterpenoid ketones where the equatorial β -substituent is part of the second ring¹⁰⁻¹².

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **10**, designated as albartol, is typical for a bicyclic sesquiterpene-isofraxidin ether of the exo-methylene series: =CH₂ at 5.08 and 5.02 ppm and 3*Me* resonances at 0.78, 0.87, and 1.00 ppm. The hydroxyl group at C6 is axial since the corresponding



geminal (equatorial) proton shows only small eq-ax and eq-eq couplings to C7 H₂ and appears therefore as a relatively sharp signal ($w_{1/2} = 6$ Hz at 3.46 ppm). The $-CH_2OAr$ moiety is attached equatorially to the trans decaline system of the terpenic unit because the corresponding $> CH - CH_2 - OAr$ protons are represented by an almost undisturbed pseudo dublet close to an A₂(X) type signal (axial $-CH_2OAr$ groups are always indicated by clear ABX patterns with $\Delta\delta_{AB} > 0.1$ ppm; $\Delta\delta_{AB}$ for 10 is < 0.01 ppm). 10 is therefore the parent alcohol to albartin (3), previously isolated from Artemisia alba⁴. This was also confirmed by acetylation of 10 giving a product which was identical with albartin in all respects (¹H-NMR, CD).

The optical rotation of 10 is low and no accurate value can be given due to the small amount of material isolated. For the acetyl derivative albartin $(3)^4$ $[\alpha]_D = +2^\circ$ and $[\alpha]_{436} = -3^\circ$, indicating that the ORD is very flat in this wave length region. However, the CD spectra allow an absolutely safe chiroptical correlation. The absolute configuration shown in the formula has been determined recently by chemical degradation of albartin¹⁰.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. K. Valant-Vetschera for collecting the plant material and to Dr. W. Silhan and Mr. H. Bieler for recording NMR and mass spectra. Thanks are further due to Prof. H. Falk. University of Linz, Austria, for access to the dichrograph Mark V. Support by the "Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung in Österreich" (projects 4009 and 4837) is gratefully acknowledged.

Experimental Part

Instruments: Optical rotation: Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter; Circular dichroism: Jobin-Yvon Mark V; IR: Perkin-Elmer 398; UV: Perkin-Elmer "Lambda 5"; MS: Varian MAT CH-7; NMR: Bruker WM 250.

Plant Material: Achillea depressa Janka was collected near Niš, Yugoslavia. Voucher specimens are deposited at the herbarium of the Institute of Botany, University of Vienna (WU).

Isolation of the Compounds

105 g of fresh air dried roots were cut into small pieces and extracted with petrol ether $(60-80^{\circ})$: ether (2:1) for two days at room temperature. The concentrated extract was roughly fractionated on a silica gel column with solvent mixtures as eluents starting with petrol ether—ether $(Et_2 O \text{ increasing from 0% to 100\%})$ and finally $Et_2 O - MeOH$ (MeOH increasing from 0% to 100%) and finally $Et_2 O - MeOH$ (MeOH increasing from 0% to 10%). The coumarin derivative containing fractions ($Et_2 O$ and $Et_2 O - MeOH$) were detected by UV spectroscopy and were further separated by TLC on 1 mm thick layers of silica gel GF 254 (Merck) using $Et_2 O$ -petrol ether (4:1) as solvent to give six fractions (s. Tab. 1). Fraction 2 was pure Acetyldeparnol (2), fractions 5 and 6 were again repeatedly chromatographed by TLC using $CH_2Cl_2 - EtOH$ (98.5:1.5) as solvent to give pure deparnol (8) and albartol (10).

Acetyldeparnol (2), (1S,4R)-7-[5-(4-acetyloxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylenecyclohexyl)-3-methylpent-2-enyloxy]-6,8-dimethoxy-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one

Colourless oil; IR (CCl₄): 2 955, 1 745, 1 560, 1 470, 1 420, 1 405, 1 290, 1 150, 1 125, 1 045, 835; UV (*Et*OH): 340 nm ($\varepsilon = 7$ 850), 297 (11 300), 226 (23 400, sh), 206 (50 500, sh); CD (*Et*OH): 340 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = + 0.1$, sh), 295 (-0.55), 235 (+1.9), at 210 nm steep negative slope: MS (70 eV, 100 °C): *m*/e 484 (M^+ , 1.5%), 223 (42), 222 (100), 221 (15), 133 (12), 119 (11), 107 (10), 95 (10), 93 (12), 91 (18); NMR (CDCl₃): 7.63 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C4-H), 6.67 (s, 1 H, isofrax. C5-H), 6.35 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C3-H), 5.55 (broad t, J = 7 Hz, =CH-CH₂OAr), 4.88 (dd, 1 H, J = 10 and 4 Hz, > CHOAc), 4.83 (broad s, 1 H, exo-methylene, $w_{1/2} = 4$ Hz), 4.05 (s, 3 H, C8-OMe), 3.90 (s, 3 H, C6-OMe), 2.14 - 2.19 (m, 2 H), 2.04 - 2.09 (m, 2 H), 2.06 (s, 3 H, Me), 0.87 (s, 3 H, Me).

Deparnol (8), (1S,4R)-7-[5-(4-hydroxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-methylen-cyclohexyl)-3methylpent-2-enyloxy]-6,8-dimethoxy-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one

Colourless oil; IR (CCl₄): 3 635, 2 950, 1 745, 1 560, 1 475, 1 420, 1 410, 1 290, 1 150, 1 130, 1 045, 840; UV (*Et*OH): 338 nm ($\varepsilon = 7700$), 297 (10 900), 227 (22 800, sh), 206 (48 000, sh); CD (*Et*OH): 350 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -0.04$), 300 (+0.35), 235 (-0.7), at 210 nm steep negative slope; MS (70 eV, 100 °C): *m*/e 442 (*M*⁺, 1%), 223 (35), 222 (100), 221 (10), 119 (10), 107 (16), 95 (12), 93 (12), 91 (15); NMR (CDCl₃): 7.62 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C4-H), 6.66 (s, 1 H, isofrax. C5-H), 6.35 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C3-H), 5.53 (broad t, 1 H, J = 7 Hz, =CH-CH₂OAr), 4.81 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 5$ Hz, exo-methylene), 4.69 (d, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, $-CH_2OAr$), 4.57 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 4$ Hz, exo-methylene), 4.04 (s, 3 H, C8-OMe), 3.89 (s, 3 H, C6-OMe), 3.66 (dd, 1 H, J = 10 and 4 Hz, > CHOH), 2.13 -2.19 (m, 2 H), 1.93 -2.00 (m, 2 H), 1.3 -1.9 (m, 5 H), 1.66 (broad s, 3 H, olefin. *Me*), 0.94 (s, 3 H, *Me*), 0.87 (s, 3 H, *Me*).

Albartol (10), (1R,4aS,6S,8aR)-7-[(6-hydroxy-decahydro-5,5,8a-trimethyl-2methylene-1-naphthalenyl)methoxy]-6,8-dimethoxy-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one

Colourless oil; IR (CCl₄): 3 640, 2 930, 1745, 1 560, 1 465, 1 420, 1 405, 1 290, 1 240, 1 150, 1 120, 1 040, 835; UV (*Et*OH): 339 nm ($\varepsilon = 7$ 800), 296 (11 200), 228 (23 500, sh), 206 (48 000, sh); CD (*Et*OH): 360 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -0.01$?), 304 (+0.35), 217 (-3.8); MS (70 eV, 100 °C): *m/e* 442 (*M*⁺, 3%), 223 (23), 222 (100), 203 (45), 161 (10), 147 (16), 133 (20), 121 (13), 119 (12), 109 (13), 107 (20), 105 (15), 95 (22), 93 (19), 91 (16); NMR (CDCl₃): 7.60 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C4-H), 6.65 (s, 1 H, isofrax. C5-H), 6.35 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C3-H), 5.08 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 5$ Hz, exo-methylene), 5.02 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 5$ Hz, exo-methylene), 4.26 (pseudo d, 2 H, *J* = 6 Hz, CH₂OAr), 4.00 (s, 3 H, C8-OMe), 3.88 (s, 3 H, C6-OMe), 3.46 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 6$ Hz, C6-H), 2.50 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 14, 13, and 3 Hz, C3-H eq), 2.41 (t, 1 H, *J* = 6 Hz, C1-H ax), 2.18 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 14, 13, end 4 Hz, C3-H ax), 1.96 (dddd, 1 H, *J* = 14, 13, 4, Me), 0.78 (s, 3 H, Me).

Acetylation of 10

0.2 ml of acetic anhydride was added to 1 mg 10 and the solution kept at 60° for 8 h. Evaporation of excess anhydride and preparative TLC gave albartin which was identical with the natural product isolated from *Artemisia alba*⁴ (*Rf*, NMR, CD).

Oxo-Derivative of 8

A mixture of 1 mg 8 and 10 mg CrO_3 in 0.5 ml of dry pyridine was stirred for 15 h at room temperature. Then 10 ml of ether and a few drops of 2 n HCl were added to obtain an acidic aqueous layer. The ether layer was washed neutral, dried over MgSO₄, the ether evaporated and the keton 12 purified by TLC (CH₂Cl₂: EtOH = 98.5:1.5).

12: UV (*Et*OH): 340 (8000), 297 (11500), 227 (23000, sh), 206 (49000, sh); CD (*Et*OH): 295 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -1.3$); NMR (CDCl₃): 7.62 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C4-H), 6.65 (s, 1 H, isofrax. C5-H), 6.34 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5 Hz, isofrax. C3-H), 5.50 (broad t, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 18$ Hz, =CH-CH₂OAr), 5.00 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 5$ Hz, exo-methylene), 4.80 (broad s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 5$ Hz, exo-methylene), 4.68 (d, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, -CH₂OAr), 4.04 (s, 3 H, C8-OMe), 3.89 (s, 3 H, C6-OMe), 1.85–2.45 (m, 7 H), 1.4–1.6 (m, 2 H), 1.65 (broad s, 3 H, olefin. Me), 1.19 (s, 3 H, Me), 1.02 (s, 3 H, Me).

Transformation of 2 to Keto-acid Methylester 14

4 mg 2 in 10 ml EtOH - AcOH (3:1) were hydrogenated with Pt/H₂ (10 mg PtO₂, roomtemp., atm. pressure, 12 h). The catalyst was removed, the solvent evaporated and the remaining oil was subjected to hydrolyzation with 5% KOH in $MeOH/H_2O$ (2:1). The neutral products were isolated by extraction with ether yielding the crude diol 13. An excess of *Jones* reagent was added to a solution of the diol in 0.5 ml acetone. After 10 min 10 ml ether was added and the acidic products were isolated. The crude keto-acid was converted to its methylester with an etheric solution of diazomethane. The material isolated (ca. 0.5 mg) was not enough for further purification. However, the crude methylester 14—dissolved in 1.2 ml CHCl₃—gave a polarimeter reading of $-0.006 \pm 0.001^{\circ}$. This agree very well with the methylester isolated by *Caglioti* et al.⁸ ($[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -17^{\circ}$).

References

- ¹ Caglioti L., Naef H., Arigoni D., Jeger O., Helv. Chim. Acta 41, 2278 (1958).
- ² Saidkhodzhaev A. I., Khim. Prir. Soedin. **1979**, 437 and references therein; C.A. **92**, 76670 p (1980).
- ³ Greger H., Hofer O., Nikiforov A., J. Nat. Prod. 45, 455 (1982).
- ⁴ Greger H., Haslinger E., Hofer O., Monatsh. Chem. 113, 375 (1982).
- ⁵ Greger H., Hofer O., Robien W., J. Nat. Prod. 46, 510 (1983).
- ⁶ Greger H., Hofer O., Robien W., Phytochemistry 22, 1997 (1983).
- ⁷ Hofer O., Greger H., Phytochemistry 23, 181 (1984).
- ⁸ Caglioti L., Naef H., Arigoni D., Jeger O., Helv. Chim. Acta 42, 2557 (1959).
- ⁹ van Tamelen E. E., Coates R. M., Chem. Commun. 1966, 413.
- ¹⁰ Hofer O., Weissensteiner W., Widhalm M., Monatsh. Chem. 114, 1399 (1983).
- ¹¹ Djerassi C., Halpern O., Halpern V., Riniker B., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 80, 4001 (1958).
- ¹² Allinger N. L., DaRooge M. A., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 84, 4561 (1962).